







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,134	09/29/2000	Stanton J. Taylor	10022/039	1622
33391 75	590 12/09/2003		EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			CORRIELUS, JEAN M	
ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 1600 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2172	10
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003	, 13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/677,134	TAYLOR, STANTON J.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jean M Corrielus	2172

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 💆	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ree, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	ean M Corrielus Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2172





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner acknowledges applicant's book entitled Netcentric and Client/Server Computing, however, applicant fail to specifically point out or map specific portions that corresponds to specific limitations of the pending claims 1-41 in the applicant's submitted book. In 37 CFR 1.131(b) "The showing of facts shall be such, in character and weight, as to establish reduction to practice prior to the effective date of the reference, or conception of the invention prior to the reduction to practice or to the filing of the application. Original exhibits of drawings or records, or photocopies thereof, must accompany and from of the affidavit or declaration or their absence satisfactorily explained. (See M.E.P., 715). For example in the independent, Claim 1 recites "a plurality of database servers" corresponds to which specific part of the Applicant's Book? "a plurality of data stores each in communication with one of the database servers, wherein the database servers are operable to access the data stores" corresponds to which specific part of the Applicant's Book?. The examiner can not find applicant's claims 1-41 in chapter 17 and 18 of the Applicant's book as indicated in the remark page 2. Therefore, applicant hereby required specifically pointing out or mapping each claim limitation into his/her submitted book in response to this office action.